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The side chains of L-alanyl-L-methionine hemihydrate, $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S} \cdot 0.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, form hydrophobic columns within a three-dimensional hydrogen-bond network that includes extended polymers of cocrystallized water molecules and $\mathrm{C}^{\alpha}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{S}$ interactions.

## Comment

The crystal structure of L-Val-L-Ala (VA; Görbitz \& Gundersen, 1996) was the first example of nanotube formation by such a small molecule. Subsequently, the reteroanalogue L-Ala-L-Val (Görbitz, 2002) and a series of other dipeptides with L-Ala, L-Val and L-Ile residues (Görbitz, 2003b) were found to form structures very similar to VA, differing only in the way that side chains partly fill the channels along the hexagonal axes, which translates directly to pore size.

To investigate whether crystallization in the VA class is compatible with dipeptides incorporating unbranched side chains (apart from the methyl group of L-Ala), crystallization and structure determination have been carried out for L-Met-L-Ala (MA) and L-Ala-L-Met (AM). The structure of MA is indeed closely related to the VA class, but with seven molecules in the asymmetric unit (Görbitz, 2003a). The crystal structure of $\mathrm{AM} \cdot 0.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ is discussed here.


The asymmetric unit of AM $\cdot 0.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, containing two peptide molecules and one water molecule, is shown in Fig. 1. There are no signs of any kind of disorder, and bond lengths and angles are normal. Peptide molecule $A$ has an elongated mainchain conformation, in which the carboxylate group is coplanar with the N atom of the peptide bond (Table 1). The L-

Met side chain has an unusual gauche+, trans, trans conformation for $\chi^{1}, \chi^{2}$ and $\chi^{3}(\mathrm{~N} 2 A-\mathrm{C} 4 A-\mathrm{C} 5 A-\mathrm{C} 6 A, \mathrm{C} 4 A-$ $\mathrm{C} 5 A-\mathrm{C} 6 A-\mathrm{S} 1 A$ and $\mathrm{C} 5 A-\mathrm{C} 6 A-\mathrm{S} 1 A-\mathrm{C} 7 A$, respectively), which has been found previously only for D-Ala-L-Met (in the racemate; Stenkamp \& Jensen, 1974; Guillot et al., 2001), for one of the two side chains in cyclo(L-Met-L-Met) (Valle et al., 1990) and for $N$-formyl-L-Met (Chen \& Parthasarathy, 1977).

The main chain of peptide molecule $B$ differs from that of $A$ mainly in the carboxylate-group orientation defined by the $\mathrm{N} 2 B-\mathrm{C} 4 B-\mathrm{C} 8 B-\mathrm{O} 2 B$ torsoin angle $\left[-57.8(2)^{\circ}\right]$. The sidechain gauche - rotamer for $\chi^{1}(\mathrm{~N} 2 B-\mathrm{C} 4 B-\mathrm{C} 5 B-\mathrm{C} 6 B)$ and the trans rotamer for $\chi^{3}(\mathrm{C} 5 B-\mathrm{C} 6 B-\mathrm{S} 1 B-\mathrm{C} 7 B)$ are both quite common, but the gauche+ orientation for $\chi^{2}(\mathrm{C} 4 B-$ $\mathrm{C} 5 B-\mathrm{C} 6 B-\mathrm{S} 1 B)$ is very rare, and the gauche-, gauche + , trans combination for $\chi^{1}, \chi^{2}$ and $\chi^{3}$ yields a conformation that has not been observed previously for any of the amino acids or peptides in the Cambridge Structural Database (CSD; Allen \& Motherwell, 2002).

The packing diagram in Fig. 2 shows that even though some features are shared, like the aggregation of side chains into hydrophobic columns, AM is clearly not a member of the VA class. As was also evident from the $P 2_{1} 2_{1} 2_{1}$ space group, AM lacks hexagonal symmetry, and furthermore, the open channels at the center of each hydrophobic column are missing. In the VA class, these channels are either empty or filled nonstoichiometrically with solvent molecules that can be removed by drying, with complete retention of the peptide scaffold (Görbitz \& Gundersen, 1996; Görbitz, 2002). The cocrystallized solvent water molecules of AM are located close to the twofold screw axes parallel to the short 5.0809 (2) $\AA a$ axis; these molecules form an integral part of the hydrogen-bond network and cannot be removed by drying without destroying the crystal. Hydrogen bonds between water molecules give rise to polymers along the $a$ axis that are surrounded by peptide $B$ molecules, as seen in Fig. 3. Similar columns, with carboxylate groups as acceptors for water H atoms rather than peptide carbonyl groups, have been found for L-Asp-Gly $\cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (Eggleston et al., 1981), for L-Arg-L-Asp-2 $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (Rama-


Figure 1
The asymmetric unit of L-Ala-L-Met, showing peptide molecules $A$ and $B$ and the solvent water molecule. Displacement ellipsoids are shown at the $50 \%$ probability level and H atoms are shown as spheres of arbitrary size.


Figure 2
The molecular packing and unit cell viewed along the $a$ axis. Molecules in the asymmetric unit are identified by the labels $A, B$ and $W$ (water).
krishnan \& Viswamitra, 1988) and twice in the $1: 1$ complex L-His-L-Ser-Gly-L-Glu•6H2O (Suresh \& Vijayan, 1985). L-ProGly (Narasimhan \& Chacko, 1982) and l-Pro-Val (Narasimhan et al., 1982) have polymer structures in which water molecules do not accept amine H atoms.

It was no surprise to find that the structure of $A M$ is completely different from the monoclinic structure of $\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{L}-\mathrm{Ala}-$ L,D-Met (Stenkamp \& Jensen, 1974; Guillot et al., 2001), the difference being due to the different directions in which side chains are disposed relative to the main chain for $\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{L}$ and $\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{L}-$ L,D diastereomers (Görbitz \& Etter, 1992).

The hydrogen-bond geometry is detailed in Table 2. All amine H atoms of molecule $A$ are donated to molecule $B$


Figure 3
A stereoview of the chains of water molecules, related by twofold screw symmetry, along the $a$ axis. Peptide $B$ molecules surround the column. H atoms not involved in hydrogen bonds have been omitted for clarity. For peptide $A$ molecules only line drawings of the carboxylate groups are included.
carboxylate groups and vice versa (including a three-center interaction for atom $\mathrm{H} 2 B$; Table 2), except for atom $\mathrm{H} 1 B$, which is accepted by the water molecule. Neighboring molecules of type $A$ or type $B$ are connected by hydrogen bonds, with the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ peptide bond as the donor, and by a number of weak interactions with $\mathrm{C}^{\alpha}-\mathrm{H}$ donors including $\mathrm{C} 4 B-$ H41B‥S1B (Fig. 3). A search of the CSD revealed that C$\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{S}(\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{Met})$ contacts are surprisingly ubiquitous, the shortest $\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{S}$ distance being $2.85 \AA$. The most common donor is, however, not $\mathrm{C}^{\alpha}-\mathrm{H}$ as in AM , but the terminal methyl group of another L-Met side chain.

In summary, the hydrogen-bond network in AM incorporates two peptide molecules in the asymmetric unit, both with unusual L-Met side-chain conformations, together with a solvent water molecule that forms extended hydrogen-bonded polymers along the shortest crystallographic axis.

## Experimental

The title compound was obtained from Bachem and used as received. Crystals were grown by slow diffusion of acetonitrile into an aqueous solution ( $30 \mu \mathrm{l}$ ) containing the peptide $(\sim 1 \mathrm{mg})$.

## Crystal data

$\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S} \cdot 0.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$
$M_{r}=229.31$
Orthorhombic, $P 2_{1} 2_{1} 2_{1}$
$a=5.0809(2) \AA$
$b=17.9228(9) \AA$
$c=24.5005(11) \AA$
$V=2231.11(17) \AA^{3}$
$Z=8$
$D_{x}=1.365 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$

## Mo $K \alpha$ radiation

Cell parameters from 5401
reflections
$\theta=1.7-27.1^{\circ}$
$\mu=0.28 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$
$T=105$ (2) K
Needle, colorless
$0.58 \times 0.05 \times 0.03 \mathrm{~mm}$

## Data collection

| Siemens SMART CCD | 4661 independent reflections |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\quad$ diffractometer | 3741 reflections with $I>2 \sigma(I)$ |
| $\omega$ scans | $R_{\text {int }}=0.099$ |
| Absorption correction: multi-scan | $\theta_{\max }=27.1^{\circ}$ |
| $\quad(S A D A B S ;$ Sheldrick, 1996) | $h=-6 \rightarrow 6$ |
| $T_{\min }=0.829, T_{\max }=0.992$ | $k=-21 \rightarrow 21$ |
| 13921 measured reflections | $l=-31 \rightarrow 31$ |

Table 1
Selected geometric parameters ( $\left(\AA^{\circ}\right)$.

| $\mathrm{S} 1 A-\mathrm{C} 7 A$ | $1.794(3)$ | $\mathrm{S} 1 B-\mathrm{C} 7 B$ | $1.796(3)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | ---: |
| $\mathrm{S} 1 A-\mathrm{C} 6 A$ | $1.810(3)$ | $\mathrm{S} 1 B-\mathrm{C} 6 B$ | $1.796(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{O} 1 A-\mathrm{C} 3 A$ | $1.229(3)$ | $\mathrm{O} 1 B-\mathrm{C} 3 B$ | $1.229(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{O} 2 A-\mathrm{C} 8 A$ | $1.230(3)$ | $\mathrm{O} 2 B-\mathrm{C} 8 B$ | $1.256(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{O} 3 A-\mathrm{C} 8 A$ | $1.275(3)$ | $\mathrm{O} 3 B-\mathrm{C} 8 B$ | $1.259(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{N} 1 A-\mathrm{C} 1 A$ | $1.487(3)$ | $\mathrm{N} 1 B-\mathrm{C} 1 B$ | $1.493(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{N} 2 A-\mathrm{C} 3 A$ |  |  | $1.347(3)$ |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $98.30(14)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 7 A-\mathrm{S} 1 A-\mathrm{C} 6 A$ | $101.40(14)$ | $\mathrm{C} 7 B-\mathrm{S} 1 B-\mathrm{C} 6 B$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{~N} 1 A-\mathrm{C} 1 A-\mathrm{C} 3 A-\mathrm{N} 2 A$ | $159.11(19)$ | $\mathrm{N} 1 B-\mathrm{C} 1 B-\mathrm{C} 3 B-\mathrm{N} 2 B$ | $-175.58(19)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 1 A-\mathrm{C} 3 A-\mathrm{N} 2 A-\mathrm{C} 4 A$ | $173.8(2)$ | $\mathrm{C} 1 B-\mathrm{C} 3 B-\mathrm{N} 2 B-\mathrm{C} 4 B$ | $178.65(19)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 3 A-\mathrm{N} 2 A-\mathrm{C} 4 A-\mathrm{C} 8 A$ | $-156.2(2)$ | $\mathrm{C} 3 B-\mathrm{N} 2 B-\mathrm{C} 4 B-\mathrm{C} 8 B$ | $-124.4(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{N} 2 A-\mathrm{C} 4 A-\mathrm{C} 8 \mathrm{~A}-\mathrm{O} 2 A$ | $0.2(3)$ | $\mathrm{N} 2 B-\mathrm{C} 4 B-\mathrm{C} 8 B-\mathrm{O} 2 B$ | $-57.8(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{N} 2 A-\mathrm{C} 4 A-\mathrm{C} 5 A-\mathrm{C} 6 A$ | $64.5(3)$ | $\mathrm{N} 2 B-\mathrm{C} 4 B-\mathrm{C} 5 B-\mathrm{C} 6 B$ | $-74.5(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 4 A-\mathrm{C} 5 A-\mathrm{C} 6 A-\mathrm{S} 1 A$ | $152.83(15)$ | $\mathrm{C} 4 B-\mathrm{C} 5 B-\mathrm{C} 6 B-\mathrm{S} 1 B$ | $71.8(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 5 A-\mathrm{C} 6 A-\mathrm{S} 1 A-\mathrm{C} 7 A$ | $178.66(17)$ | $\mathrm{C} 5 B-\mathrm{C} 6 B-\mathrm{S} 1 B-\mathrm{C} 7 B$ | $-177.3(2)$ |

## Refinement

Refinement on $F^{2}$
$R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]=0.040$
$w R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.090$
$S=0.99$
4661 reflections
290 parameters
H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement
$w=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{o}^{2}\right)+(0.0356 P)^{2}\right]$ where $P=\left(F_{o}^{2}+2 F_{c}^{2}\right) / 3$
$(\Delta / \sigma)_{\max }=0.001$
$\Delta \rho_{\text {max }}=0.29 \mathrm{e}^{\AA^{-3}}$
$\Delta \rho_{\text {min }}=-0.35 \mathrm{e}^{-3}$
Absolute structure: Flack (1983), 1893 Friedel pairs
Flack parameter $=-0.04(8)$

Table 2
Hydrogen-bonding geometry $\left(\AA,{ }^{\circ}\right)$.

| $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H}$ | $\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{~N} 1 A-\mathrm{H} 1 A \cdots \mathrm{O} 2 B^{\mathrm{i}}$ | 0.89 | 1.85 | $2.736(2)$ | 175 |
| $\mathrm{~N} 1 A-\mathrm{H} 2 A \cdots \mathrm{O} 2 B^{\text {ii }}$ | 0.89 | 2.32 | $2.815(3)$ | 115 |
| $\mathrm{~N} 1 A-\mathrm{H} 3 A \cdots \mathrm{O} 3 B^{\text {iii }}$ | 0.89 | 1.86 | $2.748(2)$ | 172 |
| $\mathrm{~N} 2 A-\mathrm{H} 4 A \cdots \mathrm{O} 1 A^{\text {iv }}$ | 0.81 | 2.58 | $3.346(3)$ | 158 |
| $\mathrm{C} 1 A-\mathrm{H} 11 A \cdots \mathrm{O} 1 A^{\text {iv }}$ | 0.99 | 2.55 | $3.300(3)$ | 132 |
| $\mathrm{C} 4 A-\mathrm{H} 41 A \cdots \mathrm{O} 2 A^{\mathrm{v}}$ | 1.04 | 2.26 | $3.165(4)$ | 145 |
| $\mathrm{~N} 1 B-\mathrm{H} 1 B \cdots \mathrm{O} 1 W^{\mathrm{vi}}$ | 0.92 | 2.03 | $2.950(3)$ | 177 |
| $\mathrm{~N} 1 B-\mathrm{H} 2 B \cdots \mathrm{O} 3 A$ | 0.92 | 2.07 | $2.937(3)$ | 157 |
| $\mathrm{~N} 1 B-\mathrm{H} 2 B \cdots \mathrm{O} 2 A$ | 0.92 | 2.25 | $3.024(2)$ | 141 |
| $\mathrm{~N} 1 B-\mathrm{H} 3 B \cdots \mathrm{O} 3 A^{\text {iv }}$ | 0.92 | 1.87 | $2.793(3)$ | 175 |
| $\mathrm{~N} 2 B-\mathrm{H} 4 B \cdots \mathrm{O} 3 B^{\mathrm{v}}$ | 0.85 | 2.05 | $2.875(3)$ | 163 |
| $\mathrm{C} 1 B-\mathrm{H} 11 B \cdots \mathrm{O} 1 B^{\mathrm{v}}$ | 0.94 | 2.34 | $3.234(3)$ | 157 |
| $\mathrm{C} 4 B-\mathrm{H} 41 B \cdots \mathrm{~S} 1 B^{\text {iv }}$ | 0.99 | 3.05 | $3.901(3)$ | 145 |
| $\mathrm{O} 1 W-\mathrm{H} 1 W \cdots \mathrm{O} 1 W^{\text {vii }}$ | $0.81(3)$ | $2.14(3)$ | $2.931(2)$ | $167(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{O} 1 W-\mathrm{H} 2 W \cdots \mathrm{O} 1 B$ | $0.72(3)$ | $2.09(3)$ | $2.779(3)$ | $159(3)$ |

Symmetry codes: (i) $1-x, \frac{1}{2}+y, \frac{3}{2}-z$; (ii) $\frac{1}{2}-x, 1-y, z-\frac{1}{2}$; (iii) $\frac{3}{2}-x, 1-y, z-\frac{1}{2}$; (iv) $1+x, y, z$; (v) $x-1, y, z$; (vi) $x-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}-y, 2-z$; (vii) $\frac{1}{2}+x, \frac{3}{2}-y, 2-z$.

Data were collected by measuring three sets of exposures (with the detector set at $2 \theta=29^{\circ}$, and using a crystal-to-detector distance of 5.00 cm ). The coordinates for the water H atoms, which were found in an electron-density map, were refined; other H atoms were placed geometrically and treated in the refinement with constraints. Free rotation of amine and methyl groups was permitted. $U_{\text {iso }}$ values for H atoms were set at $1.2 U_{\text {eq }}$ of the carrier atom, or $1.5 U_{\text {eq }}$ for water, methyl and amine groups. The Flack (1983) parameter confirmed the known absolute structure; Friedel pairs were not merged in the final refinements.

Data collection: SMART (Bruker, 1998); cell refinement: SAINT (Bruker, 2001); data reduction: SAINT; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXTL (Bruker, 2000); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXTL; molecular graphics: SHELXTL; software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXTL.

The purchase of the Siemens SMART CCD diffractometer was made possible through support from the Research Council of Norway (NFR).

Supplementary data for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: SK1679). Services for accessing these data are described at the back of the journal.
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